

Nicola

I't likely that I won't be replying to this before Tuesday as I am in the middle of preparing papers for a first tier tribunal hearing that must be submitted by Monday.

Jane

Jane Cloherty
FOI Policy Advisor
1.G
Redgrave Court
Bootle

VPN 523 [REDACTED]
Fax 0151 951 3274

From: casework@ico.gsi.gov.uk [mailto:casework@ico.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 18 January 2013 15:32
To: Jane Cloherty
Subject: Information Request[Ref. FS50468181]

PROTECT

18th January 2013

Case Reference Number: FS50468181

Dear Ms Cloherty

Thank you for talking to me on the telephone this afternoon. As discussed, I should like to confirm the points which you have clarified for me.

1. When HSE receives a complaint which it will not formally investigate, it will record the complaint on its COIN system indicating that it has been considered and rejected and then write by email/letter to the complainant to inform them of this decision.
2. The letters are kept for 6 months from the date of the last action.
3. Mr Dransfield has a copy of the letter he was sent concerning the scaffolding issue but HSE no longer has a copy.
4. Mr Dransfield has a copy of the COIN record regarding the scaffolding issue and that is still held by HSE.
5. There is no COIN record or letter concerning the refusal to investigate the first complaint about the footbridge held by HSE (please confirm - I am not sure whether you actually said this).

6. Mr Dransfield has a copy of the site report which was completed with respect to the scaffolding complaint.

7. The only other information held is the briefing note which Mr Dransfield was sent on 21 May 2012.

8. There is therefore no other information held which records any discussion regarding the two complaints and whether they fall under the remit of HSE.

I should be grateful if you would confirm that the above is correct and also when Mr Dransfield received the site report.

In addition, could you clarify with respect to point one above, whether all complaints are logged onto the COIN system, whether they are accepted or not? I should also be grateful if you would explain what COIN stands for (as I will need to expand it in the decision notice).

Thank you for your help. As confirmed, I shall begin to prepare the decision notice next week if possible.

Regards
Nicola

Nicola Humphries
Lead Case Officer
Information Commissioner's Office
01625 545340

.....
Please note : Incoming and outgoing email messages are routinely monitored for compliance with our policy on the use of electronic communications and may be automatically logged, monitored and / or recorded for lawful purposes by the GSI service provider.

Interested in Occupational Health and Safety information?
Please visit the HSE website at the following address to keep yourself up to date

www.hse.gov.uk
.....

Hi Nicola

Please see my responses below.

If you have any further questions or anything is unclear please contact me.

Regards

Jane

Jane Cloherty
FOI Policy Advisor
1.G
Redgrave Court
Bootle

Tel: 0151 951 [REDACTED]
Fax 0151 951 3274

From: casework@ico.gsi.gov.uk [mailto:casework@ico.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 18 January 2013 15:32
To: Jane Cloherty
Subject: Information Request[Ref. FS50468181]

PROTECT

18th January 2013

Case Reference Number: FS50468181

Dear Ms Cloherty

Thank you for talking to me on the telephone this afternoon. As discussed, I should like to confirm the points which you have clarified for me.

1. When HSE receives a complaint which it will not formally investigate, it will record the complaint on its COIN system indicating that it has been considered and rejected and then write by email/letter to the complainant to inform them of this decision.

All complaints received by HSE are recorded on COIN, unless it is clear at the time of the complaint that HSE do not have regulatory responsibility for the concerns raised. In these circumstances, HSE will always try to re-direct the complainant to the appropriate organisation.

All actions associated with an investigation are recorded on COIN but the level of information attached to the COIN record may differ considerably depending on the case. Investigations relating to a complaint will often be a record of the actions taken by HSE during the investigation plus the outcome of the investigation. Investigations following a serious workplace accident / fatality,

will also be a record of the actions taken by HSE during the investigation but the COIN record will contain attachments such as HSE's Investigation Report, Witness Statements, Communications with 3rd Parties etc.

HSE only notify a complainant of the outcome of their complaint if they specifically request this. Many of the complainants wish to remain anonymous and do not provide HSE with contact details. If HSE do notify a complainant of the outcome of their complaint, a copy of the notification is attached to the COIN record.

2. The letters are kept for 6 months from the date of the last action.

Communications with a complainant are attached to the relevant COIN record and these records are retained by HSE for 7 years in accordance with our retention schedule. Communications that are handled under normal business are retained for 6 months from the date of the last action.

3. Mr Dransfield has a copy of the letter he was sent concerning the scaffolding issue but HSE no longer has a copy.

I am unable to confirm if Mr Dransfield holds a letter sent from HSE concerning the scaffold complaint reported to HSE in June 2006. I can confirm that the COIN record set up following Mr Dransfield's complaint does not have any records attached to it.

4. Mr Dransfield has a copy of the COIN record regarding the scaffolding issue and that is still held by HSE.

I confirm HSE holds a copy of the COIN record regarding Mr Dransfield's complaint about Scaffold at a McAlpine site in Exeter. I attach an unredacted copy of this record for information.

I confirm HSE partially disclosed a copy of the COIN record regarding Mr Dransfield's complaint to Mrs S Oliver on the 18/5/2012. Mrs Oliver submitted a subject access request to HSE in March 2012, on behalf of Mr Dransfield, seeking disclosure of all information held by the HSE on Mr Dransfield. HSE cannot confirm if Mrs Oliver passed the COIN record onto Mr Dransfield following its disclosure.

5. There is no COIN record or letter concerning the refusal to investigate the first complaint about the footbridge held by HSE (please confirm - I am not sure whether you actually said this).

I confirm that HSE does not hold a COIN record relating to Mr Dransfield's concerns about the footbridge / PFI Schools. This is because HSE did not process Mr Dransfield's complaint as a complaint.

I confirm that HSE does not hold a copy of the letter dated 28/3/2006 sent to Mr Dransfield by [REDACTED] in response to his complaint. This is because HSE handled the complaint as normal business rather than a complaint.

I confirm the only information HSE hold on this matter is a Briefing Note compiled by [REDACTED]. I attach an unredacted copy of this note for information. *The concerns raised by Mr Dransfield had already been brought to HSE's attention by the National Audit Office several months before Mr Dransfield submitted his complaint to HSE. HSE investigated the issues referred to us by the National Audit Office and established the areas of concern were outside of our enforcement remit. Upon receipt of Mr Dransfield's complaint, HSE already knew the areas of concern were not within our enforcement remit therefore his email was processed under normal business. Mr Dransfield was sent a letter by [REDACTED] as detailed within the briefing note.*

6. Mr Dransfield has a copy of the site report which was completed with respect to the scaffolding complaint.

HSE do not hold a site report with respect to Mr Dransfield complaint about Scaffold at a McAlphine Site in Exeter. The only record HSE hold on this matter is the COIN record, partially disclosed to Mrs Oliver in May 2012.

7. The only other information held is the briefing note which Mr Dransfield was sent on 21 May 2012.

I confirm HSE hold a briefing note and this was partially disclosed to Mrs Oliver on the 18/5/2012 in response to Mr Dransfield subject access request. It was also partially disclosed to Mr Dransfield via whatdotheyknow.com on the 21/5/2012.

8. There is therefore no other information held which records any discussion regarding the two complaints and whether they fall under the remit of HSE.

I attached a log of information held by HSE relating to Mr Dransfield's communications with HSE.

I confirm that Document 1 (COIN Report) is the only information HSE hold relating to Mr Dransfield's complaint about Scaffolding.

I confirm that Document 2 (Briefing Note) is the only information HSE hold relating to Mr Dransfield's original complaint about footbridge / PFI schools.

I confirm that Documents 15, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 26, 41, 54, 55, 56, & 57 all relate in some way to Mr Dransfield's complaints. All of these documents were disclosed either in full or in part to Mrs Oliver on the 18/5/2012 in response to Mr Dransfield's subject access request. I have not included these documents at this time but am happy to provide them should you wish to see them.

I should be grateful if you would confirm that the above is correct and also when Mr Dransfield received the site report.

I confirm my responses to your questions are correct. HSE do not hold a site report therefore I cannot confirm if Mr Dransfield holds information of this nature. I confirm that HSE disclosed the COIN report we hold regarding Mr

Dransfields complaint about Scaffold at a McAlpine site in Exeter to Mrs Oliver on the 18th May 2012. I cannot confirm when or if Mr Dransfield received this.

In addition, could you clarify with respect to point one above, whether all complaints are logged onto the COIN system, whether they are accepted or not? I should also be grateful if you would explain what COIN stands for (as I will need to expand it in the decision notice).

Explanations regarding HSE's complaints handling procedure are provided as part of my response to question 1. COIN stands for Corporate Operational Information System.

Thank you for your help. As confirmed, I shall begin to prepare the decision notice next week if possible.

Regards
Nicola

Nicola Humphries
Lead Case Officer
Information Commissioner's Office
01625 545340

.....
Please note : Incoming and outgoing email messages are routinely monitored for compliance with our policy on the use of electronic communications and may be automatically logged, monitored and / or recorded for lawful purposes by the GSI service provider.

Interested in Occupational Health and Safety information?
Please visit the HSE website at the following address to keep yourself up to date

www.hse.gov.uk
.....

Cases Report

Date: 21/03/2012

Version 2.0.0

Report Parameters:

Case ID = "4022212"

Include Related Cases = "Y"

Master Case 4022212

Company ID	1086540	Company Name	Sir Robert McAlpine Limited
Site ID	1855713	Site Name	HQ/Hemel Hempstead/Sir Robert McAlpine Limited
Date Created	21/06/2006	Status	Closed-InvestComp
Category	Complaints	Assigned To	
Type	Other	Provider Group	Constr Div Ops 3FMU84
Detail	N/A		
Problem Summary	Complaint Re Southernhay Exeter 17/06/2006		
Problem Description	<p>Complaint Re Southernhay Exeter 17/06/2006 - On Sat 17th June I observed inadequate/unacceptable scaffolding, which, clearly compromises pedestrians walking under the scaffold. The scaffold does not comply with HSE regulations and it would appear the periodical scaffold inspections/acceptances procedures are also being compromised.</p> <p>The scaffold is situated opposite the gate #1 on the west side of the complex.</p> <p>This scaffold is life threatening to members of the public.</p>		

Master Case Detail

Master Case Notes

Note Summary

Complain Follow up re Southernhay site Exeter

Note Details

Date Note Added

21/06/2006 04:21:22 PM

Attachments

20/6/2006 Spoke with [redacted] re advice. this complaint. /// 20/6/2006 Advice from [redacted] as follows - Please raise this issue locally with your highways contact, it may be that they chose to go to site to assess the scaffold in line with their obligations re scaffold on the highway. We would welcome any feedback in relation top any observations they might make.

Please raise the issue in the normal way with the PC for the site advising of the complaint and the issues raised and also suggest that at some stage we will be visiting the site and would want to validate the security of their scaffolds where there is a significant public interface particularly in relation to inspection of the scaffold and assessment of risks to the public. I understand that [redacted] was scheduled to attend the site tomorrow though this has been postponed, please raise this with [redacted] for discussion at his next visit /// 20/6/2006 Spoke to highways department and emailed the complaint received from Mr Dransfield for their action re Pedestrian Access ///

Note Summary

Date Note Added 21/06/2006 04:30:07 PM

Tele Call Re Complaint

Note Details

Attachments

21/6/2006 Spoke to [redacted] Project Manager on [redacted] Advised re scaffold inspection procedures and nature of complaint. will call back after he has inspected area. 22/6/2006 Call from [redacted] received. Scaffold is in compliance with regulations will sent written comment.

Note Summary

Date Note Added 22/06/2006 01:53:15 PM

Complaint passed to inspector [redacted]

Note Details

Attachments

Passed to inspector as he will do site visit

Note Summary

Date Note Added 12/07/2006 03:47:44 PM

Site visit 28 June 2006

Note Details

Attachments

newbuild6 LP6 designer6 Princess Hay, Exeter, redevelopment of former shopping zone into car parking, 80+ retail units and residential homes site visit with [REDACTED] Complaints Officer Plymouth. Unannounced general site visit to include looking at public interface areas including scaffolds likely to affect MOP's. Saw site management including [REDACTED] Site Manager, [REDACTED] Asst Works Manager (H&S responsibilities). Discussed segregation of public and delivery vehicles in outer area- agreed to install physical barriers where concrete wagons crossing footways- as well as banksmen and existing controls. Saw some good practise including 110v cabling strung overhead to avoid trip hazard. Agreed to improve access to external temp staircase tower from individual floor levels eg remove tripping hazards presented by uneven ground. This was started whilst we were on site. Discussed possibility of further HSE visit nearer to fit out stage when shopfitters at work. Did not see any cause for concern re scaffolding. NFA

Briefing note: Alan Dransfield

Prepared by [REDACTED]

On March 3rd 2006, [REDACTED] from the National Audit Office contacted [REDACTED] about a complaint that had been received regarding a PFI School in Exeter. The complaint concerned a footbridge at St Luke's School in Exeter which apparently did not meet electrical safety standards (BS6651: 1999). The complainant had sent photographs to illustrate this point. He also raised concerns about the quality of the concrete used in the bridge - one of the photographs showed a crack in the concrete. He alleged that these problems may also extend to the other schools in Exeter's PFI programme. The Audit office recommended that he contact Devon County Council in the first instance and that HSE may have a role. Esther was keen to know whether we were already dealing with the complaint.

[REDACTED] asked [REDACTED] and myself if we were aware of the complaint and neither of us were. I confirmed with the Plymouth Admin team that they had not received a complaint about these issues to date. [REDACTED] did some research and found that the British Standard quoted referred to the protection of buildings, and the second issue related to structural integrity of a bridge. He also discovered an extract from the ODPM website which makes reference to the "The Building Act 1984 and the Building Regulations which have the purpose of "securing the health, safety, welfare and convenience of persons in or about buildings and of others who may be affected by buildings or matters connected with buildings. " Additionally there are some 2004 regulations stemming from the Building Act that deal with electrical safety. As this was a new building, we agreed between us that the matter would be best referred to Building Control in Exeter City Council in the first instance.

Following this decision, we contacted the Audit Office to let them know what we intended to do. I also made a telephone call to Building Control in order to let them know of the complaint. I learnt that this was an ongoing complaint and that the complainant had been placed on Exeter City Council's equivalent to our persistent enquirers system. I was informed that the complainants name was Alan Dransfield and that Council staff had been instructed not to engage in correspondence with him in any way. As far as Building Control were concerned, the issues had been looked into and the complaint was closed. We reported this to the Audit Office and intended to take no further action.

It was at this point that Mr Dransfield obtained email contact details for the Plymouth office admin team. He began to copy all of his emails regarding the complaint to this email account. Recipients varied from local Councillors to the Chancellor of the Exchequer. In these emails, Mr Dransfield expressed concerns about the safety of PFI schools in Exeter. He also began to raise issues concerning the safety fences and security at the PFI schools and about construction work at Southernhay. At this point, HSE were merely copied in to his correspondence and we received no direct complaint until March 15th 2006.

On March 20th 2006, Mr Dransfield sent an email to [REDACTED] in the Plymouth Office which raised concerns about lightening protection in one of the PFI Schools. He demanded an investigation into this by an Electrical Engineer and Lightning Specialist/Consultant. [REDACTED] replied that his concerns would be looked into and passed the matter to me for consideration. In turn, I discussed the matter with my line manager [REDACTED]. We sent the following response to Mr Dransfield on March 28th:

" I am writing with reference to the concerns that you have raised about 5 PFI schools in the Exeter area. Your correspondence has been passed to me as the Public Services Inspector for the Exeter area. I have discussed this matter with my line manager and can advise you as follows: Although you obviously have some very serious concerns, it is important that they are targetted at the appropriate enforcing authority. HSE is responsible for enforcing health and safety at work and as such do not have the powers to deal with the issues that you have raised. Lightning protection comes under the remit of Building Control in Exeter. I have taken the opportunity to inform them of your concerns. With regard to the security fences, this issue would come under the jurisdiction of the DFES and not HSE. HSE do not plan to take any further action on these issues but I thank you for bringing them to my attention. If you have further concerns about these issues I would suggest that you raise them with the appropriate bodies."

Mr Dransfield was not happy with this response and forwarded it with his comments to various bodies. He also accused HSE and myself of colluding with Devon County Council in order to cover up health and safety failings. I believe that it was at this point that I referred Mr Dransfield to [REDACTED] who confirmed that HSE would be taking no further action. She in turn then referred him to [REDACTED] who said the same.

During this period of time, Mr Dransfield continued to copy the admin team into all of his correspondence. This even included some pictures of cows that had been killed during a lightning strike. We decided that it would be appropriate at this point to place him on to our persistent enquirers list.

As far as the Construction issues are concerned, [REDACTED] raised a case on COIN regarding the query received in June for Southern Hay, Exeter. The Coin Case number is 4022212. This complaint was followed up by a site visit by [REDACTED]. The case was closed. Although the Plymouth Formsadmin account has since received emails re Southern Hay it has not been specifically aimed at HSE. We were only CC recipients of messages addressed to others, typically offices of Devon County Council. Therefore construction team have had no further direct involvement.

I had no further involvement with Mr Dransfield although I did continue to be copied in to his emails for a period of time.

On March 20th 2006, Mr Dransfield sent an email to [REDACTED] in the Plymouth Office which raised concerns about lightening protection in one of the PFI Schools. He demanded an investigation into this by an Electrical Engineer and Lightning Specialist/Consultant. [REDACTED] replied that his concerns would be looked into and passed the matter to me for consideration. In turn, I discussed the matter with my line manager [REDACTED]. We sent the following response to Mr Dransfield on March 28th:

" I am writing with reference to the concerns that you have raised about 5 PFI schools in the Exeter area. Your correspondence has been passed to me as the Public Services Inspector for the Exeter area. I have discussed this matter with my line manager and can advise you as follows:
Although you obviously have some very serious concerns, it is important that they are targetted at the appropriate enforcing authority. HSE is responsible for enforcing health and safety at work and as such do not have the powers to deal with the issues that you have raised.
Lightning protection comes under the remit of Building Control in Exeter. I have taken the opportunity to inform them of your concerns.
With regard to the security fences, this issue would come under the jurisdiction of the DFES and not HSE.
HSE do not plan to take any further action on these issues but I thank you for bringing them to my attention. If you have further concerns about these issues I would suggest that you raise them with the appropriate bodies."

Mr Dransfield was not happy with this response and forwarded it with his comments to various bodies. He also accused HSE and myself of colluding with Devon County Council in order to cover up health and safety failings. I believe that it was at this point that I referred Mr Dransfield to [REDACTED] who confirmed that HSE would be taking no further action. She in turn then referred him to [REDACTED] who said the same.

During this period of time, Mr Dransfield continued to copy the admin team into all of his correspondence. This even included some pictures of cows that had been killed during a lightning strike. We decided that it would be appropriate at this point to place him on to our persistent enquirers list.

As far as the Construction issues are concerned, [REDACTED] raised a case on COIN regarding the query received in June for Southern Hay, Exeter. The Coin Case number is 4022212. This complaint was followed up by a site visit by [REDACTED]. The case was closed. Although the Plymouth Formsadmin account has since received emails re Southern Hay it has not been specifically aimed at HSE. We were only CC recipients of messages addressed to others, typically offices of Devon County Council. Therefore construction team have had no further direct involvement.

I had no further involvement with Mr Dransfield although I did continue to be copied in to his emails for a period of time.

All information held by HSE relating to Mr Dransfield

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfield's email account	Information relating to Mr Dransfield's PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
1	N/A	21/06/2006	COIN Cases Report	No	No	Relates to complaint by Mr Dransfield about scaffold erection	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
2	2009/426584	Undated	Briefing Note regarding Alan Dransfield's complaint	No	Yes	No	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
3	2007/28818	Period 31/01/07 to 12/02/07	Email string between HSE staff	Yes	No	No	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
4	2007/28816	Period 04/02/07 to 12/02/07	Email string from Mr Dransfield to HSE and between HSE colleagues	Yes	No	No	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
5	2007/28822	12/02/2007	Email between HSE colleagues	Yes	No	No	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfields email account	Information relating to Dransfields PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
6	2007/28829	15/02/07	Email string between HSE colleagues	Yes	No	No	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
7	2007/28862	19/02/07	Email between HSE colleagues	Yes	No	No	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
8	N/A	21/07/07	Individual RIDDOR record data	No	No	Relates to complaint by Mr Dransfield about scaffold erection	Yes – in full
9	2008/548256	Period 23/09/08 to 30/09/08	Email string between HSE colleagues	Yes	No	No	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
10	2009/204199	Period 01/05/09 to 26/05/09	Email string between Mr Dransfield and Office of Rail Regulation	No	No	Relates to complaint by Mr Dransfield about disused rail at Dawlish Vicinity of E272 Dawlish Warren	Yes – in full

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfields email account	Information relating to Dransfields PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
11	2009/490307	Period 27/10/09 to 28/10/09	Email string between HSE colleagues	Yes	No	No	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
12	2009/435098	02/11/09	Letter to Mr Dransfield from Terry Rose	Yes	No	No	Yes – in full
13	N/A	03/06/10	FOI request from Mr Dransfield	No	No	Relates to FOI request made by Mr Dransfield regarding Dangers at Exeter Chiefs Rugby Ground and Pedestrian Bridge	Yes – in full
14	N/A	03/06/10	HSE Internal FOI tracking sheet				
15	2010/245558	08/06/10	Letter to Mr Dransfield from HSE				
16	2010/577608	Period 9/11/10 to 02/10/10	Record of construction discussion forum messages submitted for moderation by Alan M Dransfield	Yes	Yes	No	Yes – in full

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfield's email account	Information relating to Mr Dransfield's PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
17	N/A	28/03/11	FOI request from Mr Dransfield	Yes	Yes	No	Yes – in full
18	N/A	28/03/11	HSE Internal FOI tracking sheet				Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
19	2011/236410	07/04/11	Letter to Mr Dransfield from HSE	No	No	Relates to FOI request made by Mr Dransfield regarding the Marsh Barton Scaffold Collapse	Yes – in full
20	N/A	11/04/11	FOI request from Mr Dransfield				Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
21	N/A	11/04/11	HSE Internal FOI Tracking Sheet				
22	2011/222871	13/04/11	Letter from Mr Dransfield	Yes	Yes	No	Yes – in full
23	2011/268586	26/04/11	Letter to Mr Dransfield from HSE	Yes	Yes	No	Yes – in full
24	2011/295722	31/05/11	Letter to Mr Dransfield from HSE	Yes	Yes	No	Yes – in full

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfields email account	Information relating to Mr Dransfields PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
25	2011/355026	Period 30/06/11 to 04/07/11	Email string originating from Mr Dransfield and between HSE colleagues	No	No	No	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
26	2011/355033	05/07/11	Email to Mr Dransfield from HSE plus attachment	Yes	Yes	No	Yes – in full
27	2011/431380	Period 15/07/11 to 18/07/11	Email string between Mr Dransfield and HSE Infoline	No	No	Relates to Mr Dransfields FOI request regarding Marsh Barton Scaffold Collapse	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
28	2011/522428	Undated	Internal email between HSE colleagues	No	NO	Relates to Mr Dransfield's FOI requests involving Devon Dockyard	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfields email account	Information relating to Mr Dransfields PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
29		Period 25/07/11 to 26/07/11	FOI Request from Mr Dransfield	No	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's FOI request regarding Risk Assessments for Lightning protection at Devonport Dockyard	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
30		26/07/11	HSE Internal FOI Tracking Sheet				
31		Undated	Letter to Mr Dransfield from HSE				Yes – in full
32		Period 25/07/11 to 26/07/11	FOI Request from Mr Dransfield	No	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's FOI request for HSE reports for the last 5 years from your visits to Devonport Dockyard	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
33		Undated	Letter to Mr Dransfield from HSE				Yes – in full

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfield's email account	Information relating to Mr Dransfield's PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
34		25/07/11 to 26/07/11	FOI Request from Mr Dransfield	No	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's FOI request for all my RIDDOR reports to the HSE in the last 10 years	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed Yes – in full
35		26/07/11	HSE internal FOI Tracking Sheet				
36		Undated	Internal Search record				
37		Undated	Letter to Mr Dransfield from HSE				
38	2011/564526	30/09/11	Email string between Mr Dransfield and HSE colleagues	No	No	Relates to Internal Review of two FOI requests relating to Devonport Dockyard	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
39	2011/564534	21/10/11	Email between HSE colleagues plus attached letter to Mr Dransfield from HSE	No	No	Relates to Internal Review of two FOI requests relating to Devonport Dockyard	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfields email account	Information relating to Mr Dransfields PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
40	2011/564540	03/11/11	Internal email between HSE colleagues	No	No	Relates to internal review of two FOI requests relating to Devonport Dockyard	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
41	2011/569016	07/11/2011	Email to Mr Dransfield from HSE	Yes	Yes	No	Yes – in full
42		25/11/11	HSE Internal FOI Tracking Sheet	No	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's FOI request for Costs to HSE relating to our investigation of the Marsh Barton Scaffold Collapse and a copy of HSE's investigation report into the Marsh Barton Scaffold Collapse	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
43		12/12/11	Email to Mr Dransfield and Email between HSE Colleagues				
44		12/12/11	FOI Request from Mr Dransfield	Yes	No	No	Yes – in full

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfield's email account	Information relating to Mr Dransfield's PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
45		13/12/11	Email between HSE colleagues	Yes	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's 3 FOI Requests. 1st relating to Minster College Kent, 2 nd relating to email ban and correspondence between HSE and BP and 3 rd relating to Improvement Notices issued to BP	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed Yes – in full
46		13/12/11	Letter to Mr Dransfield from HSE				
47		Period 20/12/11 to 21/12/11	Email string between HSE colleagues	Yes – in part	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's FOI request for HSE's investigation of the Marsh Barton Scaffold Collapse	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfield's email account	Information relating to Mr Dransfield's PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
48	2011/649034	21/12/11	Email from HSE to Mr Dransfield	No	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's FOI request for Costs to HSE relating to our investigation of the Marsh Barton Scaffold Collapse	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
49		23/01/12	Letter to Mr Dransfield	Yes – in part	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's FOI request relating to email ban and correspondence between HSE and BP	Yes – in full
50	2012/70035	10/02/12	Letter to Mr Dransfield	No	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's FOI request relating to Minster College, Kent	Yes – in full

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfields email account	Information relating to Mr Dransfields PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
51		06/02/12	FOI Request from Mr Dransfield	No	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's FOI request relating to HSE's investigation report into the Marsh Barton Scaffold Collapse	Yes - with names of 3 rd parties removed
52		06/02/12	HSE Internal FOI Tracking Sheet				Yes -- in full
53	2012/70572	10/02/12	Email from HSE to Mr Dransfield				
54		06/02/12	FOI Request from Mr Dransfield	No	Yes	No	Yes - with names of 3 rd parties removed
55		06/02/12	Email from HSE to Mr Dransfield				Yes -- in full
56		13/02/12	DPA Request from Mr Dransfield	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes - with names of 3 rd parties removed
57		15/02/12	Letter from HSE to Mr Dransfield				Yes -- in full

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfields email account	Information relating to Mr Dransfields PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
58		11/02/12	FOI request from Mr Dransfield	No	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's FOI request regarding Exeter Quay Project	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed Yes – in full
59		13/02/12	HSE Internal FOI Tracking Sheet				Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
60	2012/72960	13/02/12	Letter from HSE to Mr Dransfield				Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
61		17/02/12	FOI Request from Mr Dransfield	No	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's duplicate FOI request for Costs to HSE relating to our investigation of the Marsh Barton Scaffold Collapse	Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed Yes – in full
62		17/02/12	HSE Internal FOI Tracking Sheet				Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
63	2012/83798	21/02/12	Email to Mr Dransfield				Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed

Document Number	HSE Document Reference	Document Date	Document Type	Information relating to HSE's decision to ban Mr Dransfields email account	Information relating to Mr Dransfields PFI Schools Complaint	Other	Disclosed to Mr Dransfield as part of DPA request received by Sheila Oliver on 12/03/12
64		05/03/12	Email from Mr Dransfield	No	No	Relates to Mr Dransfield's duplicate FOI request for Costs to HSE relating to our investigation of the Marsh Barton Scaffold Collapse	Yes – in full
65		05/03/12	Email to Mr Dransfield				Yes – with names of 3 rd parties removed
66		12/03/12	Letter from Sheila Oliver (Mr Dransfields representative)	No	No	DPA request from Sheila Oliver on behalf of Mr Dransfield	Yes – in full